The Seybold Report

ISSN 1533-9211

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

A REVIEW OF WATER QUALITY MODELLING FOR A RIVER BASIN

SUCHETA SABLE/KAKDE

Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, DYPU, Ambi Pune.
Email:suchetasable17@gamil.com

Dr. RAJESH KHERDE

Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, DYPU, Ambi Pune.
Email-rajesh.kherde@dyptc.edu.in

GAURI PATIL

Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, DYPU, Ambi Pune.
Email-gauri.patil@gmail.com

Abstract

Quality of water and its assessment model review is here with explained in the following study. The water requirement is increases and quality is reduced due to industrialization, urbanization and population growth.in multi- scale water resources and environmental management, mangers and policy makers are significantly supported by water quality modelling. Furthermore there are many constraints and challenges in water quality modelling.it is significantly important for the model application of modelling to adhere rules and regulations. Thus water quality modelling needs to standardize, equip with the features, main area of pollutions and the existing policy relevant models this study represents summary of water quality modelling and important models frequently used for water quality assessment at water bodies scale. This study also emphases on application of different water quality models, water quality modelling, model bucketization. Water quality models are differentiated and chosen based on their application, requirement, weakness, strength. Only a few models are suitable for specific water bodies, generate water quality parameters, are not radially available, require experienced model users, and have a large data set. It is advised that when choosing acceptable models, data availability, model complexity, kind of water body, and the intended objectives to be modelled be taken into account. Herewith we observe and analyze three different stages surface water quality models are being developed. Which are in diverse models, appropriateness, precisions, and methodologies are all considered. Environmental management authorities ensure that water quality models are applied consistently for regulatory purposes. By using standardization of water quality model. Developed countries has already done conclusion over standardization of this models. Using this we can put forward sustainable majors in emerging nations for the harmonization of surface water quality models. Mainly our focus will be on MIKE 11 model which seems to be suitable for Indian River.

Keywords-water quality, water quality modelling, model uncertainty, model applicability.

INTRODUCTION

Water has a major impact on life sustainability which is determine as a key element in our environment. Water found in sea and land plays an important stand in day to day life activates such as drinking, agriculture, industrial and other uses [1]. Human socio- economic growth depend upon readiness of quality water [2]. Rapid increasing in population and thus expansion in agriculture and industries has shown us how that quality water is becoming difficult to achieve [3]. [12].Models of water quality can be standardized became an important based for the development of availability of water quality in environment. By following the models in developing countries and understanding the Authentication system, status, progress, frame

ISSN 1533-9211

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

structure, indicators The standardization of surface water quality models can be improved in emerging nations But as maintain before, it is important for the developing country environmental management agencies which don not have standardization model quality water to create their own construction, indications, processes, and frame structure of a standardized model system for surface water quality[32].Some petrochemical, hydrological, and paper-making initiatives, for example, might have a negative impact on the aquatic environment duo to water pollution.

Water quality models can be used to calculate pollutant transporter in water environment. Therefore water quality models are a key tools identify water environmental pollution and behaviors of pollutants in water environment. These environmental effect are calculated by using numerical models over the construction projects. The results are very important aspects in determining pollution and its impact on environmental management agencies gets health from these data to give approval to construction projects and to provide technical assistance [34]. The model results gives scientific and reasonable approach on such decisions of authorization. Development of different water quality models and its algorithm is becoming available due to rapid upgrading computer techniques [21]. Various topography water bodies and pollutant at different space and time scale have many types of water quality models and numerous models software's up-to- date. But it is observed that in spite of varieties of models and algorisms, there seems to be differenced in results which can head to inconsistency and thus bring difference in management decisions.

SCOPE OF THE PROPOSED RESEARCH OF WATER QUALITY MODEL

Models of water quality are useful for simulating and forecasting the water environment. As a result, they've gotten a lot of attention in recent years. Researchers showed how to calculate DO in rivers and streams using water quality models including MIKE, SIMCAT, TOMCAT and QUAL2E. Many publications go into great detail about the models and their capabilities. However, the models were only described to a limited extent. As a result, we will discuss not only the models' capabilities but also how they might be applied to specific circumstances in this review. Eight water quality models are presented in detail in this work, including their intended purpose, development, simulation aspects, basic principle, limitations, model strengths, and application to specific scenarios. SWAT, WASP, QUALK, and MIKE 11 are among the models provided.

This review offers researchers with the knowledge they need to make educated judgments about which model to use for their research. As a result, water quality should be monitored to ensure that no contaminants are present at levels that are harmful to human health. However, there has been little research on the water quality in this study region, including by regulatory authorities responsible for water quality control. In addition, pollution sources must be identified, and a map of contaminated areas must be constructed.

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

BACKGROUND OF WATER QUALITY MODEL

Since 1925, surface water quality models have generally gone through three major stages.

The Initial stage (1925 to 1965)

Water bodies have gotten a lot more attention at this time. Water quality models at the time were primarily concerned with interactions between various components of water quality in river systems that are influenced by living and industrial point sources of pollution [14]. Sediment oxygen requirements and algae photosynthesis and respiration were treated as external inputs, similar to hydrodynamic transmission, but nonpoint source pollution was treated as a background load. The one-dimensional model was used to address pollution issues in rivers and estuaries. A basic BOD-DO bilinear system model was developed and found to be effective in predicting water quality [27, 29]. Earlier (from 1925 to 1965). Since then, several academics have continued to work on the Streeter-Phelps models. [16]For example, Thomas Jr. discovered that sediment deposition and flocculation could reduce BOD without consuming oxygen, and that the rate of reduction the to distinguish the two BOD removal processes, a flocculation coefficient was added to the steady-state S-P model. [4]Because it was related to the number of leftover BOD. [44, 51] Dobbins- Camp added two coefficients to Thomas's equation: the BOD concentrations fluctuate due to sedimentation and surface runoff, whereas DO concentrations fluctuate due to algal photosynthesis and respiration.

The Upgrade Stage (1965 to 1995)

Water quality models were divided into six systems between 1965 and 1970, and rapid progress was made based on progressive investigations on multidimensional coefficient estimate of BOD-DO models. After upgrading from a one-dimensional model to a two-dimensional model, water quality simulations were applied to lake sand gulfs [5]. The development of nonlinear system models took place between 1970 and 1975[34]. These models the study looked at the relationship between biological growth rate and nutrients, sunlight, and temperature, as well as the N and P cycles system, phytoplankton, and zooplankton systems [5, 30, 30]. Because there were nonlinear relationships, the methodologies of finite difference and finite element analysis were used to derive the results, which were done using one or two dimensional models. The hydrodynamic mode and the impact of sediments were added into water quality models at a time when three-dimensional models were still being created. The effects of sediments were handled via the models' internal interaction processes, which allowed the sediment fluxes to fluctuate depending on the input conditions. Watershed-scale non-point source pollution simulations aided in the improvement of water quality management regulations. At this stage, standard models such as QUALK, MIKE11 and WASP were designed and implemented. In the meanwhile, the USGS's one- dimensional model was used to simulate water quality.

The Grow Stage (after 1995 to till)

Powerful controlled in developed countries has led in reduction of nonpoint source contamination. However, nitrogen compounds, heavy metals, and organic molecules are found in both dry and wet atmospheric deposition [10, 20, and 24]. Increased effects on river water

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

quality were discovered. These materials are also deposited on a watershed's land surface and then transported to a water body in a sequential manner. This is likewise regarded as a significant pollutant source. Atmospheric deposition, whether static or dynamic, should be linked to a specific watershed. And thus necessitates the development of an air pollution model to incorporate these processes into the model. As a result, a few to calculate the Air pollution models were merged with water quality models to account for the effect of atmospheric pollutant deposition. After the QUAL 2K model[54], the WASP model[56], the QUASAR model[25], the SWAT model and the MIKE model[6], there was the WASP model, the QUASAR model, the SWAT model, and the MIKE model[7], several In order to calculate complex water environment circumstances, different water quality models have been devised. For example, based on the effects of atmospheric nitrogen, Whitehead created a semi-distributed integrated nitrogen model (INCA) of lately there was conclusion done by Kannel that these open-source models (such as QUAL2EU, WASP7 and QUASAR) can be used to simulate dissolved oxygen in rivers and streams. The Streeter– Phelps model is a phenomenological model in which BOD is the result of a bioassay test rather than the concentration of a chemical component. The models in the second stage have a conventional chemical kinetic structure. In aerobic settings, a series of starting reactions form a cumulative component the complicated chain of activities connected with electron transfer. Finally, the algae model is an ecological dynamics model that argues that phytoplankton and nutrient cycling have no linear development and perish. Because the technique for model creation was based on the incorporation of "incremental" influences by a modest amount of mechanical addition of model layers, such models have inconsistency. They also frequently lack clear operational definitions of the water quality factors at hand. Bacterial decomposition occurs in biological waste water treatment plants under well-managed conditions. The IAWPRC activated sludge model (Henze 1987) was published. One dimensional simulation methodology is considered for a river and estuary issues. Stage two (1965 to 1970) the water quality model with one dimensional calculation method is involved with a two-dimensional computation method, from the bilinear model to six linear models. This was done accordance to the advancement and application of computing technology to the study of biochemical oxygen consumption activity. Now lake and gulfs issues are also simulated in summation to river estuary issues. Stage three (1972 to 1975) based on phytoplankton and animal biological chain system with nutrient nitrogen and phosphorus cyclic reaction system, a nonlinear system model is evolved this system also structures the study between sunlight and temp, biomass growth rate and nutrients. Since the intersection is nonlinear, using it can be determined using a one-dimensional or two-dimensional numerical approach in space. Stage four (since 1975) different types of After continuing investigations on the food chain in phase three, interaction systems such as those involving interactions with harmful compounds have evolved. Water resources allocation, the water quality model is used to determine water function division, water environment capacity, and other considerations. As its simulation target are becoming more and more diversified and simulated. All these has made a strong technical requirement on development of extensive water quality model QUAL.

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

OBJECTIVES OF WATER QUALITY MODELLING

1) To develop a river water quality model and to assess the impact of uncertainty on water quality control.
2) To ensure that the wastewater permit limit is not exceeded.
3) Establish objective function-based model evaluation criteria to identify model parameters that are sensitive and require careful estimation, thereby increasing model performance.
4) Create a software package with a user-friendly interface that uses GIS data for water quality modelling.
5) To easily comprehend daily, flow event, and seasonal water quality variations, as well as the processes that underpin them.
6) Based on the researched water quality characteristics, build a water quality index (WQI) for the river basin and compare it to the current water quality standard.

MODEL CLASSIFICATION

Models of water quality are categorized are based on their complexity, the type of incoming water, and the water quality metrics (dissolved oxygen, nutrients, and so on) that they would predict. The more complicated a model, such as a three-dimensional model, is, the more difficult and costly it is to apply to a given circumstance. The complexity of a model is determined by four elements.

1) The number and kind of water quality indicator parameters. The more indications that are added in a model, the more complicated it becomes. Furthermore, some indications are more difficult to forecast than others.
2) The degree of spatial realism. As the number of pollution indicators and water quality monitoring sites grows, so does the amount of data needed, and the model's size grows as well.
3) The degree of temporal precision. Long-term static averages are far easier to anticipate than short-term dynamic changes in water quality. The stochastic assumptions for the distribution Water quality parameter probabilities are frequently simpler than the score points for such measurements. The water body under investigation's intricacy.

These models have a well-understood nature that has been examined more thoroughly than the other factors. At least for smaller bodies of water like rivers and lakes, basic nutritional indicators like ammonia, zinc nitrate, and phosphate concentrations may be predicted rather well. It is more difficult to accurately anticipate algae quantities, although it is done often in the eutrophication has been a serious concern in the United States and Europe for the past two decades. Toxic organic chemicals and heavy metals, on the other hand, are far more dangerous. Although these materials are used in some of the models reviewed below, their impact on the environment remains a concern. Active investigation Models can only contain a certain number of contaminants. When choosing parameters for the model, it's important to identify

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

contaminants that are both a worry in and of itself, as well as representative of the larger range of compounds that can't all be included.

Table 1: Standards for Categorization of Water Quality Models.

Standards	Statement
Single-plant	Simpler models are typically used for single-plant marginal effects. More sophisticated models are necessary for regional investigations.
Static or dynamic model	Stable time-varying outputs
Type of receiving water	Lakes and rivers are usually easier to model Application.
Stochastic or deterministic	Probability distributions are the outputs of stochastic models, whereas point estimates are the outputs of deterministic models.

Table 2: Water quality parameters

Dissolved oxygen level	In most water quality models, it is used as a water quality indicator.
Temperature	Depends on the climatic conditions
Biochemical oxygen demand	Its purpose is to determine the oxygen-reducing potential of waterborne pollutants.
Toxic organic compounds	A wide range of chemical substances can have an impact on aquatic life and may pose a direct threat to humans. Modeling is usually very challenging.
Heavy metals	Its lead, mercury, cadmium, and other elements can harm the environment as well as human health.
Ammonia nitrogen	It lowers dissolved oxygen levels and adds nitrate to the water.
Phosphates	It provide nutrient for algal growth.

Table 3: Major surface water quality models and their versions and Properties

Models	Model version	Properties
Streeter-Phelps models	S-P model, Thomas BOD-DO model[16]	In 1925, Streeter and Phelps was founded. S-P models are one-dimensional Steady-state models that concentrate on oxygen balancing and one-order BOD degradation.
QUAL models	QUAL I [49] QUAL II[49] QUAL2E[19]	In 1970, the USEPA developed QUAL I. QUAL models, including one-dimensional steady-state and dendritic river and non-point source pollutants are well-suited to dynamic models.
WASP models	WASP1-7 models[6]	The USEPA developed the WASP model in 1983. WASP models are used to simulate water quality in rivers, lakes, estuaries, coastal wetlands, and reservoirs. They include one, two, and three-dimensional models.
QUASAR model	QUASAR model[26,53]	This model was created by Whitehead in 1997. The QUASAR model, which is a one- dimensional Dynamic model with PC QASAR and QUESTOR modes, can mimic dissolved oxygen in bigger rivers.
MIKE models	MIKE11[6] MIKE 21[6] MIKE 3[7,8]	This model was created by Whitehead in 1997. QUASAR is a one-dimensional dynamic model that contains PC QASAR and QUESTOR modes to mimic dissolved oxygen in bigger rivers.
BASINS models	BASINS 1[47] BASINS 2[47] BASINS 3[47]	These models were created by the USEPA in 1996. BASINS models are multipurpose environmental analysis methods that take pollution from both point and nonpoint sources into account. BASINS models can be used to assess water quality at the watershed level.

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

INPUT REQUIREMENTS FOR WATER QUALITY MODELS

All models, as shown in the table, require information on water flow and temperature. These limits get increasingly tight as the application's complexity and scale increase. Point estimates of this data are required for static, deterministic models, and they often incorporate worst-case "design flow" predictions. Simulate pollutant behavior in worst-case scenarios. High summer temperatures, which will exacerbate dissolved oxygen and algal growth difficulties, as well as limited flows, will result in high BOD levels and other pollutants, will be the worst case scenario in terms of management. Models will need base-case concentrations of essential water quality metrics in addition to hydraulic data. They serve as a platform for assessing the effectiveness of management solutions and calibrating models to current circumstances. Furthermore, the models call for pollution flows or loads from the sources in question (e.g., industrial plants). Forms of data are required for a certain project.

Table 3: Input Requirements for Water Quality Models.

Input Requirements	Remark
water discharge	Simple models require average flows, while more complicated models require dynamic information.
Temperatures.	Simple models require average temperatures, while complicated models require precise time records.
Dissolved-oxygen concentrations	Generally all water quality predictive models for dissolved oxygen concentrations The effects of a different management strategy.
Biochemical oxygen demand	In general, all water quality models that anticipate the effects of a management option on dissolved oxygen concentrations.
Organics compounds, Heavy metals, ammonia etc	Generally two dimensional model predict this inputs.

CONCLUSION

Developed and developing countries both are facing one of the biggest worldwide challenge name water pollution. Usually the top most pollution rates are found majorly in cities due to improper waste management's systems and urban pollution. Socio-economic growth is mostly having major contribution in water pollution impacting human health. Soil erosion, deforestation, improper waste management, deficiency of database systems, habitat destructions, and inadequate support tools are the major reasons of water contamination. Tangible knowledge of water quality modelling and standardization is required to have better understanding on how the environment is affected by water pollution.it also helps to make high-yielding decisions and directions for the management. The describe overview of water quality modelling highlighting model selection application and constraints of different water bodies. Water managers and policymakers are benefited by using the tool of water quality modelling significantly. The regular practice and application of water quality modelling is remarkably required by scholars, policy makers and designers adhering rules and regulations. Models are used to calculate different water quality characteristics and estimate water quality

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

changes through waste water discharge in the environment. In the review of various water quality models we observed that Mike 11 model it's most suitable models for Indian River scenarios.

REFERENCES

1) Ajay D. Chavhan, M. P. Sharma and Renu Bhargava, "Water Quality Assessment of the Godavari River", Hydro, Nepal, 5, 31- 35, (2009).

2) C. C. Obropta, M. Niazi, and J. S. Kardos, "Application of an environmental decision support system to a water quality trading program affected by surface water diversions," Environmental Management, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 946–956, 2008.

3) C.T. Hunsaker and D. A. Levine, "Hierarchical approaches to the study of water quality in rivers—spatial scale and terrestrial processes are important in developing models to translate research results to management practices," Bio Science, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 193–203, 1995.

4) D.J.O. Connor, "The temporal and spatial distribution of dissolved oxygen in streams," Water Resource Research, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 65–79, 1967.

5) D.I. Gough, "Incremental stress under a two-dimensional artificial lake," Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 1067–1075, 1969

6) Danish Hydraulics Institute, MIKE11, User Guide & Reference Manual, Danish Hydraulics Institute, Horsholm, Denmark, 1993

7) Danish Hydraulic Institute, MIKE21: User Guide and Reference Manual, Danish Hydraulic Institute, Horsholm, Denmark, 1996.

8) Danish Hydraulic Institute, MIKE 3 Eutrophication Module, User Guide and Reference Manual, Release 2. 7, Danish Hydraulic Institute, Horsholm, Denmark, 1996

9) D.N. Moriasi, B. N. Wilson, K. R. Douglas-Mankin, J. G. Arnold, and P. H. Gowda, "Hydrologic and water quality models: use, calibration, and validation," Transactions of the ASABE, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1241–1247, 2012

10) D. Golomb, D. Ryan, J. Underhill, T. Wade, and S. Zemba, "Atmospheric deposition of toxics onto Massachusetts Bay - II. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons," Atmospheric Environment, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 1361–1368, 1997

11) G.Wang, L.X.Han, and W.T. Chang, "Modeling water temperature distribution in reservoirs with 2D laterally averaged flow-temperature coupled model," Water Resources Protection, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 59–63, 2009

12) Jyotiprakash Naik, L.G. Patil, "Development of water quality index for Godavari river based on fuzzy inference system", Groundwater for sustainable development

13) J.H. Bai, H. F. Gao,R.Xiao, JaseWang, and C. Huang, "A review of soil nitrogen mineralization in coastal wetlands: issues and methods," CLEAN—Soil, Air, Water, vol. 40, no. 10, pp. 1099–1105, 2012.

14) J.Q. Wang, Z. Zhong, and J. Wu, "Steam water quality models and its development trend," Journal of Anhui Normal University (Natural Science), vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 243–247, 2004.

15) H. W. Streeter and E. B. Phelps, A Study of the Pollution and Natural Purification of the Ohio River, United States Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 1925.3, pp. 243–247, 2004.

16) H. A. Thomas Jr., "The pollution load capacity of streams, "Water Sewage Works, vol. 95, pp. 409–413, 1948.

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

17) H. M. Valett, J. A. Morrice, C. N. Dahm, and M. E. Campana, "Parent lithology, surface-groundwater exchange, and nitrate retention in headwater streams," Limnology and Oceanography, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 333–345, 1996.

18) Henze., M .Grady. C. P. L .Gujer. W, Marais. G. v. R. and Matsuo. T. (1987). Activated sludge model No. I. IAWQ. London. ISSN: 1010-707X.

19) L. C. Brown and T. O. Barnwell Jr., The Enhanced Stream Water Quality Models QUAL2E and QUAL2E—UNCAD: Documentation and User Manual, US Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Research Laboratory, Athens, Ga, USA, 1987.

20) L. Morselli, P. Olivieri, B. Brusori, and F. Passarini, "Soluble and insoluble fractions of heavy metals in wet and dry atmospheric depositions in Bologna, Italy," Environmental Pollution, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 457–469, 2003.

21) M. A. Ashraf, M. J. Maah, and I. Yusoff, "Morphology, geology and water quality assessment of former tin mining catchment," The Scientific World Journal, vol. 2012, Article ID 369206, 15 pages, 2012

22) M.Politano, M. M. Haque, and L. J. Weber, "A numerical study of the temperature dynamics at McNary Dam," Ecological Modelling, vol. 212, no. 3-4, pp. 408–421, 2008.

23) N.J. Morley, "Anthropogenic effects of reservoir construction on the parasite fauna of aquatic wildlife," EcoHealth, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 374–383, 2007.

24) N.Poor, R. Pribble, and H. Greening, "Direct wet and dry deposition of ammonia, nitric acid, ammonium and nitrate to the Tampa Bay Estuary, FL, USA," Atmospheric Environment, vol. 35, no. 23, pp. 3947–3955, 2001

25) P.G. Whitehead, E. J. Wilson, and D. Butterfield, "A semidistributed Integrated Nitrogen model for multiple source assessment in Catchments (INCA): part I—model structure and process equations," Science of the Total Environment, vol. 210- 211, pp. 547–558, 1998.

26) P.R. Kannel, S. R. Kanel, S. Lee, Y.-S. Lee, and T. Y. Gan, "A review of public domain water quality models for simulating dissolved oxygen in rivers and streams," Environmental Modeling and Assessment, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 183–204, 2011.

27) P.P. Mujumdar and V. R. S. Vemula, "Fuzzy waste load allocation model: simulation-optimization approach," Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 120–131, 2004

28) Rajahmundry and Dhawaleswaram, AP. e-ISSN: 2320- 0847 p-ISSN: 2320-0936Volume-6, Issue-8, pp-112-120 www.ajer.org

29) Richa .Babbar, "Mixed Integer Programming for Pollution Control of Indian Tropical Rivers Case Study", The Journal of Tran disciplinary Environmental Studies, 9(2), 1-10, (2010).

30) R.Riffat, Fundamentals of Wastewater Treatment and Engineering, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2012

31) Q.G.Wang, W. N. Dai, X. H. Zhao, F. Ding, S. B. Li, and Y. Zhao, "Numerical model of thermal discharge from Laibin power plant based on Mike 21," Research of Environmental Sciences, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 332–336, 2009 (Russian).

32) Q.G.Wang, "Prediction of water temperature as affected by a pre-constructed reservoir project based on MIKE11," CLEAN— Soil, Air, Water, 2013.

33) S.M.Liou, S.-L. Lo, and C.-Y. Hu, "Application of two-stage fuzzy set theory to river quality evaluation in Taiwan," Water Research, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1406–1416, 2003

34) S.M.Yih and B. Davidson, "Identification in nonlinear, distributed parameter water quality models," Water Resources Research, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 693–704, 1975

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

35) S.Rinaldi and R. Soncini-Sessa, "Sensitivity analysis of generalized Streeter-Phelps models," Advances in Water Resources, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 141–146, 1978.

36) Sarda Purushottam1and Dr. Parag Sadgir Water Quality Modeling of Godavari River, India using Q2kw Soft Tool Sarda Purushottam1and Dr. Parag Sadgir. January 2015.

37) Shibei Li, Peng Jia, Changjun Qi, and Feng Ding (2013) "Review of Surface Water Quality Models" Appraisal Center for Environment and Engineering, Ministry of Environmental Protection, Beijing 100012, China.

38) Salah, E.A.M., Turki, A.M. and Al-Othman, E. M. (2011). Assessment of water quality of Euphrates River using cluster analysis. 3, 1629-1633.

39) Streeter, H.W., Phelps, E.B., Service, U.S.P.H., 1925. A Study of the Pollution and Natural Purification of the Ohio River: Factors concerned in the phenomena of oxidation and reaeration. III. United States Public Health Service.

40) S. F. Fan, M. Q. Feng, and Z. Liu, "Simulation of water temperature distribution in Fenhe reservoir," Water Science and Engineering, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 32–42, 2009.

41) S.J. Zhang and W.-Q. Peng, "Water temperature structure and influencing factors in Ertan Reservoir," Shuili Xuebao/Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, vol. 40, no. 10, pp. 1254–1258, 2009.

42) Thi Minh Hanh, P, Sthiannopkao, S., the Ba, D., & Kim, K.-W. (2010). Development of water quality indexes to identify pollutants in Vietnam's surface water. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 137(4), 273-283.

43) T.P.Sreejani, M. Rajesh Kumar, P.V.R.Sravya, G.V.R. Srinivasa Rao Statistical Modelling of Water Quality of River Godavari.

44) T. R. Camp, Water and Its Impurities, Reinhold, New York, NY, USA, 1963.

45) The U.S. environmental protection agency http://water.epa .gov/scitech/datait/models/basins/fs-basins4.cfm.

46) U.S. Tim and R. Jolly, "Evaluating agricultural nonpoint-source pollution using integrated geographic information systems and hydrologic/water quality model," Journal of Environmental Quality, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 25–35, 1994

47) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Guidance for quality assurance project plans. http://water.epa .gov/scitech/datait/models/basins/fs-basins4.cfm.

48) The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Compendium of tools for watershed assessment and TMDL development," Tech. Rep. EPA 841-B-97-006, The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 1997

49) The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Review of potential modeling tools and approaches to support the BEACH Program," "Rep. No. EPA 823-R-99-002, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 1999.

50) The US Environmental Protection Agency, "Guidance on the Development, Evaluation, and Application of Environmental Models," Tech. Rep. EPA/100/K-09/003, March 2009.

51) W. E. Dobbins, "BOD and oxygen relationships in streams," Sanitary Engineering Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 53–78, 1964.

52) W. J. Grenney, M. C. Teuscher, and L. S. Dixon, "Characteristics of the solution algorithms for the QUAL II river model," Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 151– 157, 1978

53) Wlosinski. J. H. and Minshall. O. W. (1983). Predictability of stream ecosystem models of various levels of resolution. In Dynamics of Ladc Ecosystems. Fontaine. T. D. and Bartell. S. M. (cds). Ann Arbor Science. Chelsea, MI. USA. pp. 69· 86.

The Seybold Report
ISSN 1533-9211
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.7115974

54) Y. Artioli, G. Bendoricchio, and L. Palmeri, "Defining and modelling the coastal zone affected by the Po river (Italy)," Ecological Modelling, vol. 184, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 2005

55) Young. P. C, Hornberger. G. M. and Spear. R. C. (1978). Modeling badly defined systems· some further thoughts. In Proc. SIMSIG Simulation Conference. Australian Nail. Univ. Canberra, Australia. pp. 24-32.

56) X. Fang, J. Zhang, Y. Chen, and X. Xu, "QUAL2K model used in the water quality assessment of Qiantang River, China," Water Environment Research, vol. 80, no. 11, pp. 2125–2133, 2008.

57) Z.X. Xu and S.-Q. Lu, "Research on hydrodynamic and water quality model for tidal river networks," Journal of hydrodynamics, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 64–70, 2003.