DETERMINANTS OF CULTURAL INTELLIGENCE OF OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT EDUCATORS

ANAND N. ASTHANA

Professor, CENTRUM Católica Graduate Business School (CCGBS), Lima, Perú; Pontificia Universidad Católica Del Perú (PUCP), Lima, Perú. Email: aasthana@pucp.pe

Abstract

Building cultural intelligence (CQ) makes one a better manager. Understanding how a team member's culture differs from one's own makes one a more inclusive, supportive, and effective manager. Operations Management (OM) is the vital process that, in today's cutthroat business environment, allows organizations to survive and thrive. OM concepts are extensive and have an impact on every part of the organization's operations. OM education is important for all management students irrespective of their specialization. OM academics have risen to the challenge for providing education that is relevant to the global economy. There has been a lot of research on how to measure and enhance cultural intelligence of the students but little on the cultural intelligence of those who prepare them for their career in business. This research seeks to measure CQ of OM educators and determinants of a higher CQ. It finds that foreign teaching excursions in culturally distant countries are positively connected to CQ of OM educators.

Keywords: Operations Management, OM, Cultural Intelligence, CI, CQ

1. INTRODUCTION

Not long ago business schools in the United States were no more than glorified trade schools with most professors being "good ole boys dispensing war stories, cracker-barrel wisdom, and the occasional practical pointer" (Bennis & O'Toole, 2005, p. 98). At the same time the need for professional managers in a growing post-war economy was growing. The premise that managers can be educated by simply observing and copying other good managers seemed outdated. In 1959, the Ford and Carnegie foundations published shocking assessments on the dire state of education in business schools. Both foundations offered grant funding and suggestions for giving business schools reputable academic foundations. The suggestions and the grants were utilized by the business schools to modernize their curriculum by introducing theory and research (Asthana, 2021; Üsdiken, 2021). This was also the time when new business schools offering MBA degrees started operations across the world. By the end of the twentieth century nearly all of the top business schools in the world were providing an academically prestigious curriculum.

The second revolution in business education came through globalization. The larger socioeconomic tendencies of an increasingly globalized world affected not only the cultural diversity of students in classrooms but also how teachers, business schools, and students interact with one another and with the outside world. The diversity in management education brought about by internationalization raised the question of how well-equipped academics are to adapt to and function in a challenging multicultural workplace. While some people can transition between cultures with ease, others have difficulty navigating the subtleties of cultural

variety. It is urgent and vital to study academic institutions' cross-cultural competence. Researchers have been measuring and analyzing cultural intelligence (abbreviated as CI or CQ) of MBA students, (Groves & Feyerherm, 2011; Wood & St Peters, 2013) but there has been little research on those who teach them. Specifically, there has been no research on CQ of Operations Management (OM) teachers. The increasing number of overseas students has had a tremendous impact on the OM discipline globally. Thus, managing OM classrooms with an ever-changing mix of national and international cultures presents considerable challenges to academics of engaging students actively in both the conceptual framework of operations management and the appreciation of cultural and institutional diversity that crafts the global business environment. In this study, the idea of CQ is applied to the field of OM teaching, which has not been studied before. By researching international work experience relevant to OM academics, it examines international experience to better understand its impact on CQ.

2. MULTIPLE INTELLIGENCES

Nineteenth century attempts by English polymaths like Herbert Spencer and Francis Galton to classify people into intelligence groups did not meet with success and attempts to make a standardized test were abandoned. When French Alfred Binet, Victor Henri, and Théodore Simon released the Binet-Simon test in 1905, they had more success (Wolf, 1973). William Stern (1912) coined the abbreviation IQ for the German term Intelligenzquotient, which he used to refer to a method of assessing intelligence tests.

A number of meta-analytic evaluations have shown that general intelligence tests are reliable indicators of work performance across a wide range of occupations. IQ tests have evolved over time and IQ testing has developed into a huge enterprise. Now the tests are easy to administer and some experts claim to do it in minutes over the telephone or online (Richardson, 2022). The idea of IQ measurement being so easy seems unconvincing when even laypersons would recognize that intelligence is bound to be complex, enigmatic and perhaps indescribable, being probably the most intricate mental function ever evolved.

While scientists were working to improve IQ tests, Howard Gardner (1983) published his renowned book Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences, which generated much controversy. Gardner distinguished several types of intelligence, including linguistic, logical-mathematical, musical, spatial, kinesthetic, interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic intelligence, rather than viewing intelligence as a single, all-inclusive capacity. The theory has been subject of criticism by mainstream scholars (e.g., Waterhouse, 2006) for its lack of empirical evidence, and reliance on subjective judgement. Psychometric studies have consistently discovered high correlations between different elements of intelligence, rather than the modest correlations predicted by Gardner's hypothesis, bolstering the general intelligence theory over multiple intelligences. Gardner has been defending his theory through rebuttals in academic journals (Gardner & Moran, 2006) and sequels to his book (Gardner, 1993; 2000).

Another book that upended the IQ theory was published twelve years after Gardner's well-known work. The subtitle of Daniel Goleman's (1995) book, Emotional Intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ, suggests that he had a negative opinion of the entire psychometric

tradition. According to the author, the set of skills and aptitudes that deal with people and emotions has mainly been ignored. Goleman placed special emphasis on the value of being aware of one's own emotional life, controlling emotions, comprehending others' emotions, cooperating with others, and having empathy for others. The author explains how to improve these abilities. With its hopeful message, the book turned out to be an international sensation that spent over a year on the New York Times bestseller list and sold millions of copies around the world. It is perhaps the best-selling social science book of all time. The author contends that the world would be a better place if we deliberately nurtured emotional intelligence (EQ) as we do cognitive intelligence.

The idea of social Intelligence (SQ), which dates back to Edward Lee Thorndike's (1920) study, was revived as a result of research on multiple intelligences. Thorndike described it as the capacity to understand others and act and behave sensibly in relationships with them. Social intelligence (SQ) as a concept had sporadic development and turned out to be a late bloomer. SQ is the capacity to get along with others, social knowledge, ease with others, empathy for others, and insight into others' viewpoints. The term SQ refers to a broad category of social interaction abilities. In essence, high SQ symbolizes a person's ability to take action, such as collaborating and problem-solving with others.

3. CULTURAL INTELLIGENCE

The term cultural Intelligence (CQ) is a relatively new concept. The concept has its roots in Gardner's concept of Interpersonal intelligence (ability to recognize and respond adequately to other people's moods, motives, and desires) and EQ and takes self-awareness and other-awareness further ahead referring to one's ability to adapt to new cultural environments depending on a variety of factors such as cognitive, motivational, and behavioral characteristics. Intending to expand the understanding of intercultural interactions, P. Christopher Earley (2002) introduced CQ as an intellectual construct that represents adaption to various cultural situations. He further refined it with Soon Ang, giving a conceptual framework for examining the connection between organizational behavior, culture, and human intelligence. According to Earley and Ang (2003), people participate cross-culturally with varying degrees of success depending on their level of CQ. David Thomas and Kerr Inkson (2004) worked on a complementary framework of (CQ) during the same time period, outlining a three-stage method for improving one's CQ. The process entails learning the fundamentals of cross-cultural interactions, such as what cultures are, how they differ, and how they affect behavior; engaging in mindfulness practices and paying attention to cues in a reflective and creative way; and building a behavioral skill set that can be applied to a variety of contexts.

It is important to recognize that CQ is not an adaptation of EQ or SQ. While EQ researchers do not explicitly limit their models to being culture bound, they do not provide a comprehensive understanding of cross-cultural context and how the notion could be expanded to encompass it (Putranto et al., 2018). The formulations of SQ are relatively void of cultural richness and SQ demonstrates an ability to assess and manage others presuming universality of content and processes. Since ecological and social forces impact thought process, emotion and behavior,

the universalist stance of SQ could seem unwarranted. This is not to say that all psychological processes are culture-bound. However, etic aspects of CQ reflect general cognitive abilities that can be used in a variety of situations (Toves, 2022). CQ is distinct from stable personality traits which describe what a person typically does across time and across situations (Costa and McCrae, 1992). As an individual difference capability, CQ refers to what a person can do to be effective in culturally diverse settings (Ang & Van Dyne, 2008). CQ refers to one's competence for effective adaptation to new cultural settings, that is, for unfamiliar settings in new cultural context and to deal with people whose cultural background is different.

Metacognition, cognition, motivation, and behavior are the four components that make up CQ (Ang & Van Dyne, 2008). Metacognitive CQ, reflects the level of conscious cultural awareness of an individual during cross-cultural interactions. Cognitive CQ reflects the degree to which an individual knows and understands norms, practices, and conventions in different cultures. Motivational CQ reflects an individual's interest, confidence, and drive to adapt cross-culturally. Behavioral CQ entails developing a versatile repertoire of behavioral responses that are suitable in several situations, as well as the ability to change both verbal and nonverbal actions depending on the people involved in a particular interaction or cultural context. CQ is an aggregate multidimensional construct; its four dimensions being qualitatively different facets of the overall capability to function and manage effectively in culturally diverse settings (Earley and Ang, 2003). Since temperament influences choice of behaviors and experiences, some personality traits are related to CQ. Consistent with this premise, Ang et al. (2006) have shown discriminant validity of the four dimensions of CQ after comparing them with the big five personality traits. These four dimensions of CQ are suited to the structure of present-day intelligence models that have multifaceted, and individual characteristics (Ang et al., 2020). Frameworks and measures that includes subdimensions for each element also exist, but they have not received much attention to far (Anathuri et al., 2022; O'Donnell, 2023).

CQ is a culture-free etic construct not linked with academic intelligence (Ng and Earley, 2006). CQ is conceptualized as a set of competencies that can be increased over time and independently from the situation (Earley and Peterson, 2004). There is some evidence that practice of yoga may improve CQ (Asthana & Asthana, 2012). Though there is not yet any meta-analytic research on training interventions specifically for improving CQ, such interventions have been tried on experimental basis (e.g., Azevedo & Shane, 2019; Desai et al., 2018). General recommendations are that the training should focus on competencies in all four aspects of CQ, using methods that are aligned with the desired outcomes (Earley & Ang, 2003). Though there is preliminary evidence that CQ can be improved through deliberate instruction, more extensive research is required to show whether the results are ephemeral (e.g., useful for preparing people for the forthcoming trip abroad) or long lasting.

4. CULTURAL INTELLIGENCE OF TEACHERS

In order to teach students to be culturally intelligent, teachers need to teach with cultural intelligence. That means that how culturally intelligent the students become is a function of a teachers' own level of cultural intelligence (Goh, 2012, p. 401). Teachers who lack cultural

intelligence can seldom expect to nurture students who are culturally curious about the world and culturally skilled to manage intercultural conflict. Shifting country and classroom demographics around the world requires all teachers to be prepared to teach diverse learners (Banks et al., 2005).

Due to its comparatively recent introduction in the field of research, there is limited empirical evidence available (Mahasneh et al., 2019). In a study in Turkey, Karataş& Arpaci (2021) found that teacher's CQ had a positive relationship with tolerance and negative relationship with xenophobia. In a study of teachers in Indonesia, Alifuddin & Widodo (2022) found that teachers' cultural intelligence has a positive relationship with their interpersonal communication. Teachers who have knowledge regarding culture, including what culture is, how cultures are different, and how culture influences behavior and skills, are open-minded and use the context of a situation to support their understanding and demonstrate appropriate behaviors in teaching (Thomas and Inkson 2017). Moreover, they tend to be open, empathetic, supportive and positive and to understand equality in fostering communication with students from various cultural backgrounds (DeVito 2021).

Indeed, teachers are expected to have a high level of cultural intelligence. For effective and productive teaching and learning, teachers should be aware of students' cultural backgrounds and prior experiences (Banks & Banks, 2010). In addition, the teachers should possess cultural self-awareness and develop their intercultural competencies (Karatas, 2020). Further, teachers are expected to tolerance for cultural differences and encourage their students to develop the same (Kaymakcan 2007).

Teaching with cultural intelligence involves multiple elements including teachers' awareness of their own level of cultural intelligence and their developmental pathways to growing their cultural intelligence (Goh, 2012, p. 404). To discover the appropriate developmental pathways, it is necessary to discover determinants of CQ.

5. THE SETTING

The objective of the research was to find out the determinants of CQ in a set of individuals. It was decided to take a quantitative, rather than qualitative, approach. Data was collected from Slovenia, a small Central European West Balkan country that is advanced in high tech manufacturing like microlight aircrafts. Slovenians have been outward looking and have undertaken state of the art projects in Africa. In the area of pedagogy and education, Slovenia has never been an ethnocentric country; the basic cultural characteristic of the development of the Slovenian education system is its responsiveness to global trends (Ermenc, 2013). Within the country, Slovenian law discourages teaching in English (Novak-Lukanovič & Limon, 2012). Usually, a university is allowed to open a course taught in English (mainly for the benefit of foreign students from 191 countries under various EU funded schemes) only if it opens a similar course in Slovenian medium as well. However, Slovenian OM teachers are in high demand in Turkish Business Schools where they teach in English medium. Slovenia being a member of EU, the academics also get opportunity to teach in other EU countries on exchange basis.

6. RESEARCH METHODS

The full-time OM academics in Slovenia were given a survey to complete in order to gather the data for this study. Total number of respondents was 55 (female = 25; male = 30; others = 0). 24 respondents were from the country's capital. Mean age and teaching experience (in years) were 48.5 (sd 11.8) and 18.5 (sd 12.9) respectively. Mean of languages spoken by the respondents was 4.0 (sd 0.7). 37 of the respondents had had an opportunity to teach outside the country, overall mean was 5.2 (sd 5.1). The number of foreign countries in which the respondents had taught had a mean of 19 (sd 1.8). The Cultural Intelligence Scale, a 20-item scale, is most frequently used to measure it (Ang et al., 2007). Thinking about thinking, or metacognition, is the awareness and comprehension of one's own intellectual processes. It pertains to ideas around the acquisition and application of cultural knowledge in this setting. Examples of statements like these are "I am aware of the cultural knowledge I apply to cross-cultural relationships" and "I verify the veracity of my cultural knowledge as I interact with people from different cultures". Item types like "I know the legal and economic systems of various cultures" and "I know the rules (e.g., vocabulary, grammar) of other languages" are used to measure cognition, which is more specialized cultural knowledge. The motivational component is the readiness to explore and participate in cross-cultural encounters. The statements "I enjoy engaging with individuals from diverse cultures" and "I am confident that I can communicate with locals in a culture that is unknown to me" are examples of response choices. The behavior element, which focuses on acting appropriately, is evaluated using questions like "I vary my facial expressions when a cross-cultural contact necessitates it" and "I change my vocal behavior (e.g., accent, tone) when it is necessary".

Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was performed where the specified model consisted of metacognitive CQ, cognitive CQ, motivational CQ and behavioral CQ items loading onto their corresponding CQ components, and all CQ components loading onto an overall CQ construct. This second order CQ factor model had sufficient fit with $\chi2 = 449$, p=0.000, comparative fit index = 0.884 and root- mean-square error of approximation = 0.079. All unadjusted Variance inflation factor values were below the proposed cut-off of 2.4, indicating that collinearity is unlikely to have influenced the results. The reliability of the CQS overall in this study was high (=0.91), as were the reliability of each of its four components: the metacognitive CQ (=0.83), the cognitive CQ (=0.84), the motivational CQ (=0.78), and the behavioral CQ (=0.81), all of which had values above the Paul Kline's (2000) recommended cut-off point of 0.7. To guarantee equitable weighting when computing the total CQ score, an average score was produced for each CQ component. Table 1 displays the means from this study for overall CQ and each of the four CQ components.

Table 1: CQ component scores

CQ Component	Mean (SD)
Metacognitive	5.32 (0.95)
Cognitive	4.24 (1.01)
Motivational	5.27 (0.93)
Behavioral	4.93 (0.94)
Total CQ	

Various factors hypothesized to influence these components of CQ, i.e., the independent variables are defined in Table 2.

Table 2: Description of independent variable

Variable	Description
Location	Employed at capital city = 1; otherwise = 0
Gender	Female = 1; otherwise = 0
Age	In years
Experience	Teaching experience in years
Languages	Number excluding native language
Taught Balkan	Taught in Balkan countries = 1; Otherwise = 0
Taught Abroad	Taught beyond Balkan countries = 1; Otherwise = 0
Foreign Res	Residence outside Slovenia in years

Correlations are in Table 3. OLS regression was employed to examine the associations between the various CQ scores employed in the study were estimated in the following model:

$$CQ = b_0 + b_1*Location + b_2*Gender + b_3*Age + b_4*Experience + b_5*Languages + b_6*Taught$$
$$Balkan + b_7* Taught Abroad + b_8* Residence Abroad + e$$

To test how proxies for higher exposure to different cultures are associated with different components of CQ, five separate models were run: with Total CQ, Metacognitive CQ, Cognitive CQ, Motivational CQ and Behavioral CQ as dependent variables.

7. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the regression are in Table 4. In all five models, gender independent variable is insignificant in the model. This is in accordance with previous research on young students (Engle & Crowne, 2014; Harrison, 2012) and on management students (MacNab & Worthly, 2012). "Men are from Mars; Women are from Venus" type of distinction does not seem to apply in the management education sector.

Table 4: Correlations between variables

	Metacognitive CQ	Cognitive CQ	Motivational CQ	Behavioral CQ	Total CQ	Location	Gender	Age	Experience	Languages	Taught-Balkan	Taught-Abroad
Cognitive CQ	.623**											
Motivational CQ	.599**	.593**										
Behavioral CQ	.661**	.465**	.540**									
Total CQ	.867**	.828**	.820**	.794**								
Location	-0.061	-0.115	-0.054	-.135*	-0.112							
Gender	0.052	-0.008	-0.036	0.102	0.032	-0.012						
Age	0.078	0.078	.126*	0.061	0.103	-0.122	-0.064					
Experience	-0.039	-0.038	-0.021	-0.027	-0.038	-0.072	-.180**	.535**				
Languages	0.097	.199**	0.021	.126*	.139*	0.035	-0.006	-.240**	-.200**			
Taught Balkan	0.112	.192**	.170**	0.106	.178**	-.129*	-.213**	.267**	.396**	-0.063		
Taught Abroad	0.011	.180**	0.064	-0.012	0.08	-.281**	-.125*	.219**	.252**	-0.102	.245**	
Residence Abroad	0.212	.239**	0.123	.148*	.222**	-0.005	-0.054	-0.037	-0.065	0.419	0.012	.226**

Table 5: Regression results

	Metacognitive CQ		Cognitive CQ		Motivational CQ		Behavioral CQ		Total CQ	
	β	t	β	t	β	t	β	t	β	t
Constant	4.990	20.006***	3.650	12.933***	5.028	20.453***	4.936	20.101***	4.651	22.2444***
Location	-.169	-.861	-.201	-.909	-.071	-.371	-.411	-2.135**	-.213	-1.299
Gender	.140	1.134	.081	.584	-.025	-.202	.229	1.888*	.106	1.030
Age	.267	1.764**	.315	1.826**	.351	2.334**	.204	1.355*	.284	2.221**
Experience	-.237	-1.575*	-.400	-2.348**	.354	-2.383***	-.145	.977	-.284	-2.249**
Languages	.027	.191	.382	2.434***	-.027	-.199	.158	1.154	.135	1.159
Taught Balkan	.295	2.209**	.471	3.121***	.367	2.785***	.277	2.104**	.352	3.148***
Taught Abroad	-.185	-1.139	.328	1.782**	-.029	-.179	-.222	-1.390*	-.027	-.199
Residence Abroad	.434	3.144***	.307	1.966	.235	1.728**	.265	1.950**	.310	2.681***
Adjusted R^2	.087		.122		.044		.056		.095	

*p < 0.10, **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01

In learning another language, a parallel learning of its culture, along with its similarities and differences, normally tends to occur (Harrison, 2012). Yet, in this study Languages turned out to be an insignificant independent variable. This result could be due to historical and geographical reasons. Harrisons' research was in UK and foreign languages in the English context are obviously quite different from English. Slovenia was a part of Austro-Hungarian empire and the language of the elite was German (Puljić, 2014). After the First World War, when the empire was dissolved Slovenia became a part of what was later called Yugoslavia. The official language of Yugoslavia was Serbo-Croat and Slovene and Macedonian were other major languages that were recognized by the Socialist Republic (Deliso, 2020). As Yugoslavia disintegrated into six parts, the standardized varieties of the Serbo-Croatian pluricentric language, viz., Serbian, Croatian, Bosnian, and Montenegrin became national languages of different countries (Krivokapić & Perošević, 2020). Most Slovenians can hold a conversation in these new Slavic languages which are now considered "foreign". Some of them record some of these languages as "Languages spoken", while others do not. Data obtained on languages could be inaccurate. This confounds statistical analysis. Arguably for the similar reasons TeachBalkan also turned out to be insignificant variables. There has been a lot of population churning within the parts of Yugoslavia, both for ethnic and economic reasons; which has confounded ForeignRes data and the variable has come out insignificant.

Age is positive and significant in all five models. This could be because older academics have had more opportunities to broaden their cultural experiences arguably, for the same reason; Experience is also positive and significant. TeachAbroad, unlike TeachBalkan may have come out positive and significant because of higher cultural distance. People's pre-existing schemas are tested when they are exposed to a culture that is substantially dissimilar from their own (i.e., one with a high degree of cultural distance). Schemas are mental models of particular stimuli, such the institutional setting (Fiske & Taylor, 1991). People are challenged by prominent contrasts and counterpoints to their existing understanding of the institutional environment when they experience cultural distance, especially by their implicit assumptions about people, their habits, and their motivations. When these cultural differences are overt and significant, they are difficult for people's existing cognitive schemas to accommodate. As a result, people are forced to reconcile their pre-existing beliefs with the fresh perspectives

provided by the culturally distant nation in order to form more sophisticated cognitive schemas (e.g., Black et al., 1992; Kharkhurin, 2011).

While internal consistency has been checked, external consistency remains a problem in much of empirical research. Similar studies in other countries would be required to understand the determinants of CQ of OM academics in different contexts. Furthermore, longitudinal research into how people's CQ levels change over time would clarify the factors that contribute to its improvement. Future studies could incorporate several metrics of global experience to better understand its multifaceted effects. The finding from this study that foreign teaching excursions are positively connected to CQ only when those teaching assignments happened in culturally more distant countries offers a foundation for future research.

8. CONCLUSION

Companies today compete in a setting that is substantially different from that of only a few years ago. Businesses have had to modify their usual processes as a result of rapid changes brought on by a globally networked environment, the Internet, big data analytics, technological advancements, and sustainability imperatives. OM is the vital process that, in today's cutthroat business environment, allows organizations to thrive. No one department can exclusively use OM concepts. They are extensive and have an impact on every part of the organization's operations. Students should comprehend how crucially important operations management is to business, regardless of whether they are studying accounting, finance, human resources, information technology, management, marketing, or purchasing (Reid & Sanders, 2023). OM academics have risen to the challenge for providing education that is relevant to the global economy. Revision of the curriculum may not be enough and pedagogy also has to go through a transformation for which CQ of the educators in important. This research shows that CQ of OM educators is positively related to teaching in other countries when the cultural distance between the home country and the host country is high.

References

1. Alifuddin, M., & Widodo, W. (2022). How Is Cultural Intelligence Related to Human Behavior?. Journal of Intelligence, 10(1), 3.

2. Anathuri, A., Mansor, A. N., & Alias, B. S. (2022). Cultural Intelligence in School: A Bibliometric Analysis, Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(4), 2430-2440.

3. Ang, S., & Van Dyne, L. (2008). Conceptualization of cultural intelligence: definition, distinctiveness, and nomological network. In S. Ang, & L. Van Dyne (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Intelligence: Theory, Measurement, and Applications (pp. 3-15). Armonk, NY: M. E. Sharpe.

4. Ang, S., Rockstuhl, T., & Ng, K. Y. (2020). Cultural intelligence. In R. J. Sternberg, & S. B. Kaufman (Eds.), The Cambridge Handbook of Intelligence (2nd edition) (pp.820-845). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

5.   Ang, S., Van Dyne, L., & Koh, S. K. (2006). Personality correlates of the four-factor model of cultural intelligence. Group and Organization Management, 31(1), 100–123.

6.   Ang, S., Van Dyne, L., Koh, C., Ng, K. Y., Templer, K. J., Tay, C., & Chandrasekar, N. A. (2007). Cultural intelligence: Its measurement and effects on cultural judgment and decision making, cultural adaptation and task performance, Management & Organization Review, 3(3), 335–371.

7.   Asthana, A. & Asthana, A. N. (2012). Yogic Science for Human Resource Management in Business. World Applied Science Journal, 19(1), 120-130. doi: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2012.19.01.619

8.   Asthana, A.N. (2021). Organisational citizenship behaviour of MBA students: the role of mindfulness and resilience. The International Journal of Management Education, Vol. 19(3), 100548.

9.   Azevedo, A., & Shane, M. J. (2019). A new training program in developing cultural intelligence can also improve innovative work behavior and resilience: A longitudinal pilot study of graduate students and professional employees. The International Journal of Management Education, 17(3), 100303.

10. Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. (2010). Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

11. Banks, J. A., Cochran-Smith, M., Moll, L., Richert, A., Zeichner, K., LePage, P., Darling-Hammond, L., Duffy, H., & McDonald, M. (2005). Teaching diverse learners. In L. Darling-Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.), Preparing teachers for a changing world: What teachers should learn and be able to do (pp. 232–274). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

12. Bennis, W. G. & O'Toole, J. (2005). How Business Schools lost their way. Harvard Business Review, 83(5), pp. 96–104.

13. Black, J. S., Gregersen, H. B., & Mendenhall, M. E. (1992). Global assignments: Successfully expatriating and repatriating international managers. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

14. Costa, P. T. Jr., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). Revised NEO personality inventory (NEO PI-R) and new five-factor inventory (NEO FFI) professional manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.

15. Deliso, C. (2020). The History of Croatia and Slovenia. Sant Barbara, CA: Greenwood.

16. Desai, S. V., Jabeen, S. S., Abdul, W. K., & Rao, S. A. (2018). Teaching cross-cultural management: A flipped classroom approach using films, The International Journal of Management Education, 16(3), 405-431.

17. DeVito, J. A. (2021). The Interpersonal Communication Book (16th edition). Boston, MA: Pearson.

18. Earley, P. C. & Ang, S. (2003). Cultural Intelligence: Individual interactions across Cultures, Stanford CA: Stanford University Press.

19. Earley, P. C. (2002). Redefining interactions across cultures and organizations: Moving forward with cultural intelligence. Research in Organizational Behavior, 24, 271-279. doi: 10.1016/S0191-3085(02)24008-3

20. Earley, P. C., & Peterson, R. S. (2004). The elusive cultural chameleon: Cultural intelligence as a new approach to intercultural training for the global manager. Academy of Management Learning & Education, 3(1), 100-115.

21. Engle, R. L., & Crowne, K. A. (2014). The impact of international experience on cultural intelligence: An application of contact theory in a structured short-term programme. Human Resource Development International, 17(1), 30–46.

22. Ermenc, K. S. (2013). History of comparative pedagogy at universities in Slovenia. In C. Wolhuter, N. Popov, B. Leutwyler, & K. S. Ermenc (Eds.) Comparative education at universities worldwide (pp. 137-146). Ljubljana, Slovenia: University of Slovenia

23. Fiske, S. T., & Taylor, S. E. (1991). Social cognition. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.

24. Gardner, H. E. (1983). Frames of Mind: the theory of multiple intelligences, New York: Basic Books.

25. Gardner, H. E. (1993). Multiple intelligences: New horizons in theory and practice, New York: Basic books.

26. Gardner, H. E. (2000). Intelligence Reframed: Multiple Intelligences for the 21st Century, New York: Basic books.

27. Gardner, H. E., & Moran, S. (2006). The science of multiple intelligences theory: A response to Lynn Waterhouse. Educational psychologist, 41(4), 227-232. doi: 10.1207/s15326985ep4104_2

28. Goh, M. (2012). Teaching with cultural intelligence: Developing multiculturally educated and globally engaged citizens. Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 32(4), 395-415.

29. Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional Intelligence, New York: Bantam Books.

30. Groves, K. S., & Feyerherm, A. E. (2011). Leader cultural intelligence in context: Testing the moderating effects of team cultural diversity on leader and team performance. Group & Organization Management, 36(5), 535–566.

31. Harrison, N. (2012). Investigating the impact of personality and early life experiences on intercultural interaction in internationalised universities. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 36(2), 224–237.

32. Karatas, K. (2020). The competencies of the culturally responsive teacher: What, why and how?. Inquiry in Education,12(2), Article 2.

33. Karataş, K., & Arpaci, I. (2021). The mediating role of tolerance in the relationship between cultural intelligence and xenophobia. Asia Pacific Education Review, 22(1), 119-127.

34. Kaymakcan, R. (2007). Tolerance and education as a value. Journal of Values Education Center, 2(6), 114–119.

35. Kharkhurin, A. V. (2011). The role of selective attention in bilingual creativity. Creativity Research Journal, 23, 239–254. doi:10.1080/10400419.2011.595979

36. Kline, P. (2000). The handbook of psychological testing (2nd edition). New York: Routledge.

37. Krivokapić, M., & Perošević, N. (2020). Religion and Language in the Function of (De) construction of Montenegrin Identity, Journal for the Study of Religions and Ideologies, 19(56), 63-77.

38. MacNab, B., & Worthley, R. (2012). Individual characteristics as predictors of cultural intelligence development: The relevance of self-efficacy. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 36(1), 62–71.

39. Mahasneh, A. M., Gazo, A. M., & Al-Adamat, O. A. (2019). Cultural Intelligence of the Jordan Teachers and University Students from the Hashemite University: Comparative Study. European Journal of Contemporary Education, 8(2), 303-314.

40. Ng, K. Y., & Earley, P. C. (2006). Culture+ intelligence: Old constructs, new frontiers. Group & Organization Management, 31(1), 4-19.

41. Novak-Lukanovič, S., & Limon, D. (2012). Language policy in Slovenia. Language, culture and curriculum, 25(1), 27-39.

42. O'Donnell, K. (2023). Normative Multiculturalism in Organisations: Scale Development, Validation, and Organisational Outcomes, Wellington, New Zealand: Victoria University of Wellington.

43. Puljić, B. K. (2014). The impact of the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR) on the assessment of the writing skills in German language teaching in Slovenia. Linguistica, 54(1), 47-59.

44. Putranto, N. A. R., Nuraeni, S., Gustomo, A., & Ghazali, A. (2018). The relationship between cultural intelligence, emotional intelligence, and student performance. International Journal of Business, 23(1), 17-25.

45. Reid, R. D., & Sanders, N. R. (2023). Operations management: an integrated approach. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

46. Richardson, K. (2022). Understanding intelligence, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

47. Stern, W. (1912). Die psychologischen Methoden der Intelligenzpruefung [The psychological methods of intelligence testing], Leipzig: Johann Ambrosius Barth.

48. Thomas, D. C. & Inkson, K. (2004). Cultural Intelligence: People skills for global business, San Francisco: Berrett Koehler.

49. Thomas, D. C. & Inkson, K. (2017). Cultural Intelligence: Living and Working Globally (3rd edition). San Francisco, CA: Berrett Koehler.

50. Thorndike, E. L. (1920). Intelligence and its uses. Harper's Magazine, 140, 227-235. doi: 10.1080/23267224.1920.10651545

51. Toves, P. G. R. (2022). A Phenomenological Study on the Impact of Multiple Intelligences on Leadership Effectiveness, Scottsdale, AZ : Northcentral University.

52. Üsdiken, B., Kipping, M., & Engwall, L. (2021). Professional school obsession: An enduring yet shifting rhetoric by US business schools. Academy of Management Learning & Education, 20(3), 442-458.

53. Waterhouse, L. (2006). Multiple intelligences, the Mozart effect, and emotional intelligence: A critical review. Educational Psychologist, 41(4), 207-225. doi: 10.1207/s15326985ep4104_1

54. Wolf, T. H. (1973). Alfred Binet. University of Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

55. Wood, E. D., & St Peters, H. Y. Z. (2013). Short-term cross-cultural study tours: Impact on cultural intelligence. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 25(4), 558–570.